

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)	BEFORE THE SOUTH CAROLINA
)	PROCUREMENT REVIEW PANEL
COUNTY OF RICHLAND)	
)	
)	CASE NO. 2000-10
In re: Protest of The Interchange)	
)	ORDER
)	
Appeal by The Interchange)	
)	

This case came before the South Carolina Procurement Review Panel (Panel) by way of written appeal on July 19, 2000 from The Interchange of a decision by the Chief Procurement Officer upholding the cancellation of a grounds maintenance contract for the Charleston Naval Complex Redevelopment Authority (CNCRA).

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Upon notifying The Interchange by telephone of the Panel Procedures requiring incorporated business entities to be represented by an attorney, this agency postponed scheduling a hearing do to the fact that Interchange made a representation that William L. Runyon, Jr. of Charleston would be representing them and needed to continue the hearing. On September 14, 2000 this agency notified The Interchange in writing that Mr. Runyon had not contacted us in regards to representing their business at our hearing and to inform us of who their attorney would be. The Interchange again assured this agency that Mr. Runyon would be representing them. Mr. Runyon's office was contacted and a hearing was scheduled for January 9, 2001 with the notice of hearing and case record being forwarded to Mr. Runyon's office. The hearing was canceled at the last minute and Mr. Runyon notified this office that he did not represent The Interchange. On January 10, 2001 and again on February 21, 2001 this agency notified The Interchange in writing that the process of scheduling a hearing was being held up by their failure to hire an attorney.

