STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	)	IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
COUNTY OF RICHLAND	)	FIFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
Xerox Corporation,	)	CASE NO. 2006-CP-40-5478
Plaintiff,	)	BOOK RF PAGE 529
vs.	)	
South Carolina State University, Andrew Hugine, Jr., Individually, Joseph M. Pearman, Jr., Individually, and Mary L. Sims, Individually, and the State of South Carolina Budget and Control Board,	)	**ORDER**
Defendants.	)	

After careful consideration of the record in this case and the submissions of counsel, this Court is unable to discover any material fact or principle of law that either has been overlooked or disregarded and further finds no error of law or facts not appropriately considered. Accordingly, (1) this Court hereby DENIES Plaintiff's Motion to Correct a Clerical Mistake pursuant to Rule 60(a), SCRCP, and Plaintiff's Motion to Amend Order entered on or about March 28, 2007, pursuant to Rule 59(e), SCRCP, and (2) Plaintiff's Supplemental Submission in Support of its Motion for Reconsideration indicates that the Information Technology Management Officer/Chief Procurement Officer will schedule a hearing and in a letter dated April 18, 2007 to Plaintiff's counsel the Chief Procurement Officer indicates that a hearing officer other than Mr. Spicer has been designated, therefore, the matters raised in this motion appear to be moot. Pursuant to Rule 59(f), the Court is of the opinion that oral argument is not necessary.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

G. Thomas Cooper, Jr.
Presiding Judge, Fifth Judicial Circuit

May 2, 2007
Columbia, South Carolina